



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.          | CONFIRMATION NO.       |
|---|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/550,100  | 09/21/2005  | Ryosuke Yamada       | 28951-5426                   | 8385                   |
| 53067   | 7590        | 10/01/2009           |                              |                        |
| STEP TOE & JOHNSON LLP<br>1330 CONNECTICUT AVE., NW<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20036 |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>HENSON, MISCHTAL |                        |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT<br>2857             | PAPER NUMBER           |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE<br>10/01/2009      | DELIVERY MODE<br>PAPER |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/550,100             | YAMADA ET AL.       |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | Mi'schita' Henson      | 2857                |  |

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Mi'schita' Henson.

(2) Cherrie Daigneault.

**Date of Interview:** September 28, 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Cherrie Daigneault, Assistant for Attorney Roger Parkhurst (25,177), in a telephone conversation with Examiner Mi'schita' Henson on September 28, 2009 confirmed that no response to the Office Action of March 25, 2009 has been mailed.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Mi'schita' Henson/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2857

**Status of Application:** Abandoned

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 9:45 a.m. EST

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)